



NEW HAMPSHIRE LIBERTY ALLIANCE

# GOLD STANDARD

HB 51 NAY ITL  
HB 53 NAY ITL  
HB 75 NAY ITL  
HB 162 YEA ITL



NHLIBERTY.ORG

SENATE SESSION - THURSDAY, APRIL 17, 2025

**HB 51, relative to hemp-derived cannabinoids and the definition of cannabis in therapeutic cannabis.**

**HB 51**

Judiciary: ITL 3-1

**PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates 'seeds' from the definition of cannabis concerning therapeutic cannabis. It also permits alternative treatment centers to purchase non-intoxicating hemp cannabinoids from out of state for use in products.**

**NAY ITL**

- The personal, private use of cannabis should be legal for all adults.
- This bill does not make any changes in the legal use of therapeutic cannabis. It merely makes therapeutic cannabis easier to produce by using out-of-state suppliers to source non-intoxicating hemp cannabinoids.

**HB 53, permitting qualifying patients and designated caregivers to cultivate cannabis for therapeutic use.**

**HB 53**

Judiciary: ITL 3-1

**PRO-LIBERTY: This bill allows patients and caregivers to grow therapeutic cannabis at home.**

**NAY ITL**

- The personal, private use of cannabis should be legal for all adults.
- This bill allows for restricted home cultivation, which is a step in the right direction toward legalization.

**HB 75, legalizing cannabis for persons 21 years of age or older.**

**HB 75**

Judiciary: ITL 3-1

**PRO-LIBERTY: This bill allows adults the freedom to use cannabis.**

**NAY ITL**

- The personal, private use of cannabis should be legal for all adults.
- Continuing to criminalize the use of cannabis perpetuates an unsafe black market, thus providing profit to criminals.

**HB 162, relative to informed consent for law enforcement searches of houses or other property.**

**HB 162**

Judiciary: ITL 3-1

**ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill allows for warrantless searches and makes homes and property searchable under "informed consent".**

**YEA ITL**

- This bill will substantially increase the amount of warrantless searches conducted on individuals as long as the officer receives "consent", which is unconstitutional.
- While this is being portrayed as an expansion of when informed consent should be obtained, it, in effect, just makes it easier for law enforcement to conduct searches without obtaining warrants.
- This will result in far more litigation against the state and many state and federal rights violations.