Image: NHLIBERTY.ORG	STA	SHIRE LIBER GOL AND ON - WEDNESDAY,	D ARC	
HB 65 YEA IS	HB 166 YEA ITL	HB 255 NAY OTP/A	HB 517 YEA ITL	HB 607 YEA OTP/A
HB 92 YEA ITL	HB 167 YEA ITL	HB 275 YEA OTP/A	HB 579 YEA OTP/A	HB 629 NAY IS
HB 103 NAY OTP/A	HB 172 YEA ITL	HB 355 YEA OTP/A	HB 592 YEA IS	SB 44 YEA ITL
HB 147 NAY OTP/A	HB 237 NAY IS	HB 414 NAY ITL	HB 597 YEA OTP/A	SB 92 NAY OTP/A
	od service establish	ments to establish food	d allergy awareness	HB 65
procedures.	nsumer Affairs: IS 10			
		ood service establishment	ts must have a certified	food
	available at all hours.			
<ul> <li>sensitivities, both one, have uninte applied.</li> <li>The position of for codified into curr</li> <li>Current New Har stores from state directly imposing</li> <li>If a person is alle private parties pl an appropriate ro</li> </ul>	n to improve business, a inded consequences and bod production manager ent law. mpshire law exempts loc licensure. This bill over HHS regulations on loc ergic, informing the resta ace signs explaining cor	o cater to the needs of cust nd to protect against liability d are often poorly suited to was added by HHS to regu- cally-licensed food service e rides that longstanding poli ally-licensed establishment urant before ordering is con mmon sense is an unlimited IS is acceptable.	y. General mandates, like situations in which they a ulations in 2019, and is no establishments and food r cy, and local control, by ts. mmon sense. To mandate	e this re etail e that
	g a committee to stu	dy best practices for co	ompanion animal	HB 92
groomers.	nsumer Affairs: ITL <sup>2</sup>	10-8		
		a stated purpose of study	/ing licensure of compa	nion
jobs, particularly <ul> <li>The only certaint bureaucracy and</li> </ul>	those of lesser means. y about licensure is that I reduces competition by	pple to find jobs and build no it manufactures political pa restricting free entry into a who are already licensed.	atronage jobs in the regula	
HB 166, relative to	safety requirements	for pools on foreclose	d residential property	HB 166
	nsumer Affairs: ITL '			
		bool, spa, or other water f	•	
an approved cover o	-	he premises of a foreclos	ed residential property	nave
<ul> <li>This bill would re consideration of</li> <li>The state could re the state could</li></ul>	equire a potentially expendent other safety features that reduce the risk of foreclo	nsive cover on foreclosed p at may be present (e.g. tall t psed property hazards by cu ducing the risk of foreclosu	fences, locked gates, etc. utting spending, allowing	). YEA ITL

The New Hampshire Liberty Alliance is a non-partisan coalition working to increase individual liberty, and encourage citizen involvement in the legislative process. Bills on the Gold Standard are evaluated based on their effects on, among other things; civil liberties, personal responsibility, property rights, accountability, constitutionality, and taxation. Roll call votes on Gold Standard bills are the foundation for our annual Liberty Rating report card.

<ul> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill would deprive New Hampshire residents of the choice to receive vaccinations for COVID-19.</li> <li>This bill uses a poorly-thought-out approach to assign responsibility to a vaccine manufacturer for injury to a party. As written, an individual who falls ill due to food poisoning 5 days after receipt of a vaccine and has a single doctor that asserts it was due to the vaccine would likely be due monetary compensation.</li> <li>Requiring compensation for lost work time from modest and known side effects would be an unprecedented level of interference in health care. Nearly all medications and treatments have risks of side effects.</li> <li>The burden of proof and level of inconvenience mandated by this bill is so low that it would likely have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.</li> <li>While the appropriate motion for this bill is ITL, IS is acceptable.</li> <li>HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8</li> <li>MATT-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous terd of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of vicims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced vicitmhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for guines of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could</li></ul>	HB 592, requiring bond from pharmaceutical companies providing vaccines.	HB 592
<ul> <li>vaccinations for COVID-19.</li> <li>This bill uses a poorly-thought-out approach to assign responsibility to a vaccine manufacturer for injury to a party. As written, an individual who falls iil due to food poisoning 5 days after receipt of a vaccine and has a single doctor that asserts it was due to the vaccine would likely be due monetary compensation.</li> <li>Requiring compensation for lost work time from modest and known side effects would be an unprecedented level of interference in health care. Nearly all medications and treatments have risks of side effects.</li> <li>The burden of proof and level of inconvenience mandated by this bill is so low that it would likely have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.</li> <li>While the appropriate motion for this bill is ITL. IS is acceptable.</li> <li>HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special charge stacking that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>RO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and se</li></ul>	Commerce and Consumer Affairs: IS 10-9	
<ul> <li>This bill uses a poorly-thought-out approach to assign responsibility to a vaccine manufacturer for injury to a party. As written, an individual who falls ill due to food poisoning 5 days after receipt of a vaccine and has a single doctor that asserts it was due to the vaccine would likely be due monetary compensation.</li> <li>Requiring compensation for lost work time from modest and known side effects would be an unprecedented level of interference in health care. Nearly all medications and treatments have risks of side effects.</li> <li>The burden of proof and level of inconvenience mandated by this bill is so low that it would likely have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.</li> <li>While the appropriate motion for this bill is ITL, IS is acceptable.</li> <li>HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial charge stacking that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-3</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess the cannabis, and infused produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill wo</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Requiring compensation for lost work time from modest and known side effects would be an unprecedented level of interference in health care. Nearly all medications and treatments have risks of side effects.</li> <li>The burden of proof and level of inconvenience mandated by this bill is so low that it would likely have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.</li> <li>While the appropriate motion for this bill is ITL, IS is acceptable.</li> <li>HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial toharge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail canna</li></ul>	<ul> <li>This bill uses a poorly-thought-out approach to assign responsibility to a vaccine manufacturer for injury to a party. As written, an individual who falls ill due to food poisoning 5 days after receipt of a vaccine and has a single doctor that asserts it was due to the vaccine would likely be due</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.</li> <li>While the appropriate motion for this bill is ITL, IS is acceptable.</li> <li>HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penaltes for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polis have shown 2/3 of Granite Stater</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Requiring compensation for lost work time from modest and known side effects would be an unprecedented level of interference in health care. Nearly all medications and treatments have risks of side effects.</li> </ul>	YEA IS
Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 12-8       FIB 147         ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.       NAssault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.       NAY         HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.       HB 237         Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8       HB 2037         PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.       HB 237, level to be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.       NAY         • Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.       NAY         • Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.         • Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.	have the effect of forcing NH residents to travel out of state in order to choose treatment.	
<ul> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, continues a dangerous trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>	HB 147, relative to assault against an elderly person.	
<ul> <li>trend of seeking to elevate punishment for all crimes to higher levels of punishment.</li> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		<b>ND 14</b> /
<ul> <li>Assault is a serious crime and already treated that way under currently law. Adding a special status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea deal in order to avoid significant punishment for a crime they did not commit.</li> <li>HB 237, relative to the legalization and regulation of cannabis and making appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		ΝΔΥ
<ul> <li>appropriations therefor.</li> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>	status for certain categories of victims is a never-ending spiral of enhanced victimhood that allows for prosecutorial 'charge stacking' that increases the risk of an innocent party accepting a plea	
<ul> <li>Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 12-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		LD 227
<ul> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill eliminates penalties for limited personal possession and home cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>	appropriations therefor.	П <b>Б 2</b> 31
<ul> <li>cultivation of cannabis for adults 21 and older.</li> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>This bill would increase personal freedom by allowing adults 21 and older to possess and give away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> <li>Legalization would not only prevent innocent people from having their lives significantly impacted by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.</li> <li>Polls have shown 2/3 of Granite Staters and 2/3 of Americans support legalizing cannabis.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>away (but not sell) up to one ounce of cannabis, five grams of concentrated cannabis, and infused products with 500 milligrams of THC outside of their homes; and securely cultivate up to six plants, three of which could be mature (with a household limit of 12 total, six mature), and possess the cannabis produced by their plants at home.</li> <li>Further, the bill would enable the establishment of retail cannabis stores.</li> </ul>	NAY IS
	by minor possession arrests, but would also reduce the expense of the criminal justice system, allowing police and prosecutors to focus on serious crimes where innocent people have been victimized.	

 while the bill creates new taxes and regulatory infrastructure, this compromise is a substantial improvement over current law, which subjects people to criminal penalty and potential destruction of their livelihood for victimless behavior.

The

HB 579, requiring notice to the public before immigration checkpoints are conducted.	HB 579
Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 11-9	
PRO-LIBERTY: This bill requires local law enforcement give public notice when a federal ager	ncy
intends to conduct an immigration checkpoint.	
<ul> <li>Part 1, Article 19 of the New Hampshire Constitution notes the "right to be secure from all unreasonable searches and seizures of his person, his houses, his papers, and all his possessions." In STATE v. KOPPEL (1985), the NH Supreme Court noted that "Stopping and detaining an automobile and its occupants, whether by roving patrol or roving roadblock, constitutes a seizure within the meaning of article 19 of our State Constitution."</li> <li>In STATE v. HUNT (2007), the Supreme Court found "To justify the search or seizure of a moto vehicle, absent probable cause or even a reasonable suspicion that a criminal offense is being committed, the State must prove that its conduct significantly advances the public interest in a manner that outweighs the accompanying intrusion on individual rights. It must further prove that no less intrusive means are available to accomplish the State's goal.". Checkpoints at the border are clearly less intrusive than random stops of people traveling within the state. While the feder government may not be bound by the NH Constitution, our state law enforcement officers are a should take every effort to minimize undesired infringement and seizure.</li> <li>Notification is consistent with the approach New Hampshire uses for sobriety checkpoints.</li> </ul>	at er ral
HB 629, relative to the home cultivation of cannabis plants and the possession of certain cannabis-infused products.	HB 629
Criminal Justice and Public Safety: IS 11-9	
PRO-LIBERTY: This bill legalizes adult possession of small amounts of cannabis.	
<ul> <li>This bill would make it legal for adults 21 and older to possess up to 3/4 ounce of cannabis, five grams of hashish, and up to 300 mg of cannabis-infused products, and to cultivate up to six pla (including up to 3 mature plants) in a secure location.</li> <li>The bill does not impose any taxes or create any new bureaucracy.</li> <li>All neighboring jurisdictions have legalized possession and cultivation of cannabis for adults; Granite Staters should not have less freedom with regard to cannabis than adults in Massachusetts, Vermont, Maine, and Canada.</li> </ul>	
SB 92, relative to increasing the penalty for criminal mischief, the release of a defendation	ant SB 92
pending trial, and requiring law enforcement candidate background checks.	30 92
Criminal Justice and Public Safety: OTP/A 11-10	
ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, even with the proposed committee amendment, rolls back critical	
protections to due process rights in New Hampshire.	
<ul> <li>This bill further encroaches on the fundamental principle that all defendants are innocent until <i>proven</i> guilty. This means the judicial behavior must be heavily biased in favor of freedom of the defendant to defend themselves, not in the convenience of the state.</li> <li>Under this bill (proposed 572:2 (1)), an individual who is accused of a felony while in possession a firearm, even if that possession was incidental and unrelated to the crime, would be denied access to the speedy bail commissioner process and would instead be held for up to 36 hours waiting for a formal arraignment.</li> </ul>	n of NAY
<ul> <li>This legislation puts the burden of proof on the defendant and not the state in proving why the accused should be held pre-trial. The state should have to prove why a defendant should be held not the other way around.</li> <li>Presumption of innocence is violated throughout this bill. Broadly declaring that a defendant "sh be held takes away judicial discretion in assessing the individual case. This treats all accused a equal threats to communities and takes a top-down approach when a more targeted response may be appropriate.</li> </ul>	nall"

The New Hampshire Liberty Alliance is a non-partisan coalition working to increase individual liberty, and encourage citizen involvement in the legislative process. Bills on the Gold Standard are evaluated based on their effects on, among other things; civil liberties, personal responsibility, property rights, accountability, constitutionality, and taxation. Roll call votes on Gold Standard bills are the foundation for our annual Liberty Rating report card.

# HB 255, relative to limited liability for institutions of higher education and businesses. Education: OTP/A 11-8

### ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, effectively bans private entities from requiring COVID-19 vaccines as a condition of employment.

- This well-intentioned bill attempts to respond to federal overreach of COVID mandates by requiring that businesses, clubs, and religious organizations unconditionally accept employees' objections on the basis of personal conscience, a religious belief, or medical reasons. Underlying this bill is an assumption that individuals have a right to be employed by a specific organization and therefore that inclusion of a vaccine requirement is compelling the individual in a manner similar to the power of the state. New Hampshire generally remains an at-will employment state and this bill would erode that.
- The bill infringes on freedom of association by requiring employers to remain associated with employees who exercise their right not to receive vaccination.
- While it's tempting to view all employers as large multinational crony actors, this bill does not limit state response only to large employers. It would apply even to a two-employee home healthcare agency that would like to offer services of vaccinated employees based on a belief that there is a market demand for this service as part of pursuing a strategy of 'focused protection', as suggested by the signers of the Great Barrington Declaration and others.
- This bill protects employers from the negative consequences of the market by prohibiting them from taking actions that may ultimately cost them employees and customers.
- The State of New Hampshire is exercising its proper role of protecting citizens from federal overreach by joining lawsuits that are in opposition to these federal mandates. The state should not pursue its own attempt at mandating behavior of private actors in the market.
- This bill may have unintended consequences. Under the OSHA rules employers must evaluate requests for accomodation, granting or denying. While this puts employers in a difficult position, and some employers may not seriously consider their responsibility, there is a significant risk that the outcome of this bill would be additional federal meddling that looks to supplant the judgment of all employers. According to OSHA (www.osha.gov/coronavirus/ets2/faqs), "In particular, OSHA intends for the ETS to preempt and invalidate any State or local requirements that ban or limit an employer's authority to require vaccination, face covering, or testing. State and local requirements that prohibit employers from implementing employee vaccination mandates, or from requiring face coverings in workplaces, serve as a barrier to OSHA's implementation of this ETS, and to the protection of America's workforce from COVID-19." While we support state efforts to nullify federal laws that exceed federal authority, the existing employer exemption process coupled with the risk of even greater federal involvement provides substantial risk of an outcome that is worse than the current situation.

HB 607, establishing local education savings accounts for students. Education: OTP/A 10-9

PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, establishes an option for local school districts to adopt "local education freedom accounts" to establish locally funded accounts for students seeking other education options.

- This is enabling legislation that allows a super majority (60%) of voters in a district to adopt an education funding option that will allow 80% of the local per-student costs (minus special education funding) to follow the student to an alternate education environment.
- The local education freedom account is a mutually-exclusive alternate program to the existing state-funded education freedom account that allows local districts the option to have local funds follow the student instead of state funds.
- This new option will further shift the locus of control from government bureaucrats to families allowing parents additional options for their children based on their individual education needs. It uses funds that the school district has already dedicated for education on a per-pupil basis.
- The current education system has failed to keep pace with the evolving improvements that are happening around the world. Shifting power from a government monopoly to families choosing options in a market will spur innovation (e.g. online education, competency-based education, etc.). Having accountability rest directly on those who bear the consequences of a school's performance is superior to top-down regulatory accountability.

## NAY OTP/A

**HB 255** 

### HB 607

## YEA OTP/A

w Hampshire Liberty Alliance is a non-partisan coalition working to increase individual liberty, and encourage citizen involvement in the legislative process. on the Gold Standard are evaluated based on their effects on, among other things; civil liberties, personal responsibility, property rights, accountability, constitutionality, and taxation. Roll call votes on Gold Standard bills are the foundation for our annual Liberty Rating report card.

<ul> <li>ATTI-LIBERTY: This bill grows the scope of the existing government-sponsored job training program and will result in additional demand for taxpayer funds for centrally-planned job training.</li> <li>While government-sponsored job training programs are effective at spending taxpayer money, there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been funding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective: Government Accountability Office, "Multiple Employment and Training Programs," GAO-11-92, January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao.gov/new.items/d1192.pdf).</li> <li>The state should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.</li> <li>While the bill does not appropriate any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for additional spending on the fund.</li> <li>HB 275, relative to the governor's power to initiate a state of emergency and various emergency powers.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 10-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record no extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>HB 414.</li> </ul>	SB 44, (New Title) establishing the New Hampshire workforce pathway program.	
program and will result in additional demand for taxpayer funds for centrally-planned job training.       While government-sponsored job training programs are effective at spending taxpayer money, there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been funding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective: Government Accountability Office. "Multiple Employment and Training Programs." GAO-11-92, January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao, gow/new.items/d132,pdf).       IVEA ITLL         INDEX State should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.       INDEX State should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.       INDEX State State State State State any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for additional spending on the fund.         INDEX State of emergency powers.       Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 10-8       INE STA State of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.       INDEX State of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with his bill the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power interent.       INE AT State of emergency or requires the significant expansion of state power interest and othical is and vidual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.       INE AT State of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.         INE AT relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.       Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6 executive Departments and Administration: iTL 12-6 executive themselves and their families. An individuals right to their own life docesh end during an	Education: ITL 17-3	SB 44
<ul> <li>training.</li> <li>While government-sponsored job training programs are effective at spending taxpayer money, there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been funding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective: Government Accountability Office, "While be Employment and Training Programs," GAO-11-82, January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao.gov/new.items/d1192.pdf).</li> <li>The state should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.</li> <li>While the bill does not appropriate any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for additional spending on the fund.</li> <li>HB 275, relative to the governor's power to initiate a state of emergency and various emergency powers.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 10-8</li> <li>FRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature only given the option to cancel It. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is for does or neord to extend the significant expansion of state power inherest.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected othave level to avo a router. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature and stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to anor stepornemits and Administration: TL 12-6</li> <li>TB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicald program.</li> <li>Hab 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medical program.</li> <li>Hab 103, establishing a dental benefit under the estate Medic</li></ul>	ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill grows the scope of the existing government-sponsored job training	
<ul> <li>Whe government-sponsored job training programs are effective at spending taxpayr money, there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been funding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective. January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao.gov/wew.ltems/d132.pdf).</li> <li>The state should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.</li> <li>What be hild does not appropriate any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for additional spending on the fund.</li> <li>HZ 75, relative to the governor's power to initiate a state of emergency and various genergency powers.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 104.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is one doe to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent, in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>What his is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absen this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature is nored to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to succell.</li> <li>What this is only a modest charge, the residents of the state difficulta program.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medical program.</li> <li>HB 203, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medical program.</li> <li>HB 203, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medical program.</li> <li>HB 203, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medical program.</li> <li>HB 203, establishing a dental Elecold adults in New Hampshine with haxpayer-funded den</li></ul>	program and will result in additional demand for taxpayer funds for centrally-planned job	
<ul> <li>there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been furiding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective: Government Accountability Office, "Multiple Employment and Training Programs," GAO-11-92, January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao.gov/new.items/d1192.pdf).</li> <li>The state should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.</li> <li>While the bill does not appropriate any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for additional spending on the fund.</li> <li>HB 275, relative to the governor's power to initiate a state of emergency and various emergency powers.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 10-8</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency is can call in to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on every or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>Even duri</li></ul>	training.	
emergency powers.       FIB 273         Executive Departments and Administration: OTP/A 10-8       FRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84       Image: Committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84       Image: Committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84       Image: Committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state power inherent, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.       Image: Committee amendment of this bill, the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.       Image: Committee amendment, makes modest revisions to to evacuate and Administration: ITL 12-6       Image: Committee amendment, makes modest revisions and Administration: ITL 12-6         PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.       Image: Committee amendment, will increase state spending an emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.       Image: Committee amendment, will increase state spending an emergency.       Image: Committee amendment, will increase state spending an emergency.       Image: Committee amendment, will increase state spending an emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their o	<ul> <li>there is little evidence that they have any lasting impacts. Taxpayers have been funding jobs programs since the 1960s, yet federal auditors can find little evidence that they are effective: Government Accountability Office, "Multiple Employment and Training Programs," GAO-11-92, January 2011, p. 11 (www.gao.gov/new.items/d1192.pdf).</li> <li>The state should not have a role in determining the training that businesses will require.</li> <li>While the bill does not appropriate any new funds, it grows the constituency and argument for</li> </ul>	YEA ITL
<ul> <li>His procession of the properties of the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record to exolute.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>FRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another controlled term that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>		HR 275
<ul> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, makes modest revisions to state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individual's night to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampsh</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>state of emergency powers requiring that the legislature act if it is to be extended beyond 84 days.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>RRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.</li> <li>Seven during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>MATL-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Ham</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency. If it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>MATL-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bil</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Under current law, a state of emergency can be renewed indefinitely by the governor, with the legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>B to as extablishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTH-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would have liked to have seen.</li> <li>HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.</li> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and to evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>MNTL-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	-	
<ul> <li>Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6</li> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>legislature only given the option to cancel it. With the proposed committee amendment of this bill, the legislature is forced to go on record to extend the significant expansion of state power inherent in the state of emergency if it continues beyond 84 days.</li> <li>While this is only a modest change, the residents of the state deserve to have their elected officials on record, one way or another. Absent this, it is difficult for voters to hold the legislature accountable, as individual legislators can claim to have held nearly any position that voters would</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PRO-LIBERTY: This bill permits the governor to recommend but not compel an evacuation and stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	HB 414, relative to evacuations under a state of emergency.	
<ul> <li>stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	Executive Departments and Administration: ITL 12-6	<b>ND 414</b>
<ul> <li>evacuate.</li> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	· •	
<ul> <li>Even during an emergency or natural disaster, individuals must be free to decide how best to protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	stipulates that emergency services shall not be required for those who have chosen not to	
<ul> <li>protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.</li> <li>People are primarily responsible for their own personal safety, and they should never give up that responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	evacuate.	
<ul> <li>responsibility, especially to government officials.</li> <li>HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.</li> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	protect themselves and their families. An individual's right to their own life doesn't end during an emergency.	NAY ITL
<ul> <li>Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1</li> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	HB 103, establishing a dental benefit under the state Medicaid program.	
<ul> <li>by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.</li> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs: OTP/A 19-1	
<ul> <li>This program provides able-bodied adults in New Hampshire with taxpayer-funded dental coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, will increase state spending	
<ul> <li>coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	by providing adults in the Medicaid program with dental coverage.	
	<ul> <li>coverage at taxpayer expense.</li> <li>This bill would cost state taxpayers initially \$2 million per year and more when state and federal spending are considered.</li> <li>Expanded state-controlled dental benefits will slowly crowd out private solutions and provide another government-controlled item that can be used as a carrot and stick to force the population</li> </ul>	

New Hampshire Liberty Alliance is a non-partisan coalition working to increase individual liberty, and encourage citizen involvement in the legislative process. Bills on the Gold Standard are evaluated based on their effects on, among other things; civil liberties, personal responsibility, property rights, accountability, constitutionality, and taxation. Roll call votes on Gold Standard bills are the foundation for our annual Liberty Rating report card.

The

### HB 597, relative to the expectation of privacy.

Judiciary: OTP/A 18-3

PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, provides for accountability for some instances of government intrusion of privacy.

- In 2019, over 80% of New Hampshire voters overwhelmingly approved an amendment to the State Constitution enshrining an explicit "right to privacy", adding Part 1, Article 2-b "An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent.". This bill is a small first step at providing for accountability for invasion of privacy.
- This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, would prohibit the government or government contractor from acquiring, collecting, retaining, or using personal information with broad exclusions for emergencies, warrants signed by a judge, and activities explicitly called for by law. This prohibition is backed by the hazard of misdemeanor charges for corporations/non-natural persons and a violation for natural persons. In addition, a person who suffers injury as a result of invasions of privacy is entitled to a civil remedy under this bill.

### HB 517, relative to the state minimum hourly rate.

### Labor, Industrial and Rehabilitative Services: ITL 11-9

ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill establishes a state-dictated minimum wage that automatically elevates each year.

- Minimum wage laws decrease the number of entry-level jobs and make it harder for people of low skill to get their start in the workforce.
- Higher wages for everyone are better accomplished through job creation more jobs mean more competition to hire all workers.
- Employers and employees should be free to negotiate the terms of employment without interference.
- Economic conditions and cost of living vary dramatically across the state. A "one-size-fits-all" approach to a minimum wage will put unreasonable pressure on areas of the state with limited employment opportunities and lower costs of living.
- The very idea of a minimum wage is patronizing and demeaning as it implies that individuals don't know how much they're worth and are incapable of negotiating with an employer.
- HB 167, relative to net energy metering limits for customer generators and the purchase of output of limited electrical energy producers.

#### Science, Technology and Energy: ITL 11-10

#### ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill would increase costs for New Hampshire ratepayers.

- This bill subsidizes businesses and municipalities that choose to operate large-scale energy generation facilities by increasing the subsidized net metering cap from the current 1 megawatt limit up to 5 megawatts.
- The recipients of these subsidies will get millions more at the expense of others without providing any substantial benefits to the grid, as the energy from these systems is often intermittent.
- Net metering subsidies for renewables may no longer make sense as studies claim that solar energy is cheaper than fossil fuels. If this is true, then operators of these facilities already have a significant competitive advantage and would not require subsidy to be profitable.
- This bill has essentially no impact on individual homeowner use of net metering as the existing threshold of 1 megawatt is already approximately 100 times larger (as compared to a 10 kilowatt system) than what a typical homeowner is capable of generating via rooftop solar (www.solarreviews.com/blog/10kw-solar-systems-are-becoming-very-popular-here-is-why).

## YEA OTP/A

**HB 597** 

### HB 517

## YEA ITL

YEA ITL

# HB 172, establishing greenhouse gas emission reduction goals for the state and establishing a climate action plan.

#### Science, Technology and Energy: ITL 11-10

ANTI-LIBERTY: This bill sets onerous emissions goals on New Hampshire businesses, residents, and government.

- This bill would increase the already-high energy costs for individuals and businesses in the State of New Hampshire.
- It would require the state to adopt policies to reduce statewide greenhouse gas emissions to at least 20 percent below 1990 levels by 2025, at least 50 percent below 1990 levels by 2035, and net zero by 2050. Further, it would discourage adoption of carbon sequestration technology that would allow net negative carbon emissions by capping emissions to be no greater than a level that is 85 percent below the 1990 level.
- By setting goals irrespective of population, this bill would encourage future anti-immigration policies potentially resulting in individuals being discouraged from moving to NH and therefore at a planetary level may result in increased emissions in other geographic regions that have less efficient energy production than New Hampshire.

#### HB 355, relative to Keno.

#### Ways and Means: OTP/A 19-5

PRO-LIBERTY: This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, allows retail locations that are allowed to sell lottery tickets to sell Keno tickets in jurisdictions that have voted to allow Keno.

- This bill, with the proposed committee amendment, opens up new revenue streams for struggling small businesses in NH.
- Keno is an extension of the NH Lotto and should not be restricted to a select few business types. Any business competent to sell NH Lotto tickets can also operate a Keno game with very little instructions and training. This bill is a natural extension to the already abundant NH Lottery system.
- NH residents often cross the border to play Keno in MA. This bill will help keep that money within the state.
- While a less restrictive approach than the current government monopoly would be preferable, this bill offers a modest improvement by reducing a state restriction and allowing a wider range of actors to operate in the market.

### HB 355

YEA ITL

**HB 172** 

### YEA OTP/A

Hampshire Liberty Alliance is a non-partisan coalition working to increase individual liberty, and encourage citizen involvement in the legislative process. Bil n the Gold Standard are evaluated based on their effects on, among other things; civil liberties, personal responsibility, property rights, accountability, constitutionality, and taxation. Roll call votes on Gold Standard bills are the foundation for our annual Liberty Rating report card.